



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,027	09/04/2003	Barry Byron	33535/US	8490
7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER	
Christopher R. Hilberg, Esq. Dorsey & Whitney LLP Intellectual Property Department 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500 Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			DESAI, HEMANT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,027	BYRON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hemant M. Desai	3721	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 23,25-33 and 35-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 23,25-33 and 35-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/25/2005 has been entered.

2. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3721

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no mention of Figure No. 11 in "Brief Description Of The Drawings" as well as in the "Detail Description of the Invention".

Newly submitted Figure No. 11 has been approved.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 27, 29, 30, 32, 37, 39 and 40 are object to because the limitation "the sealing portion" in claims 27, 29, 30, 32, 37 and 39 should be "the sealing member" and in claim 40, line 2, "sealing" should be inserted before "member", and line 4, "an elongated" should be -- the elongated --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 23, 25, 29-31, 33, 35, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent (8-258851).

Japanese Patent discloses a sealing apparatus for sealing bag, comprising an elongated sealing member (21, fig. 1), and an elongated receiver portion (22, fig. 1) having at least one engagement aperture (23, fig. 3) to receive the sealing member, the receiver portion having an opening extending along a length of the receiver portion (see figs. 1-3) the opening being bracketed by first and second ridges (the meeting point of the receiver portion 23 and the lug 71, fig. 8) and providing access to the engagement aperture, and the receiver portion having lugs (71, fig. 8) that project generally outwardly and downwardly from the receiver portion (rotate the fig. 8 by 90° in the anticlockwise direction) provided at a location that is spaced apart from the opening and is prior to the ridges (start from the middle portion of the arc and moving toward left or right, the lugs are prior to the ridges) providing a gripping surface, which meets all the claimed limitations

Regarding claims 25 and 35, wherein the elongated sealing member has a circular cross-section (see figs. 6-7) and the engagement aperture of the receiver portion has an approximately circular cross-sectional shape (see figs. 6-7) that is configured to receive the sealing member.

Regarding claims 29 and 39, the coupling member is flexible that couples the sealing portion to the receiver portion (see figs. 1-7).

Regarding claims 30-31, 39, Japanese Patent discloses the sealing portion (21) and receiving portion (22) are formed of a resilient polymeric material (see translation page 6, paragraphs 10 and 11).

Regarding claims 40-42, Japanese Patent discloses a method for sealing a bag (see fig. 1) using an apparatus (20, fig. 1) having an elongated sealing member (21) and an elongated receiver portion (22) having at least one engagement aperture (23) configured to receive the sealing member (see fig. 1), the method comprising positioning a portion of a resealable bag (fig. 1) proximate to the engagement aperture, positioning the sealing member proximate to the portion of the resealable bag and the engagement aperture, and pressing the sealing member into the engagement aperture of the receiver portion with the portion of the resealable bag interposed between the sealing member and the receiver portion (see fig.1), which meets all the claimed limitations.

Regarding claim 41, positioning a portion of a resealable bag proximate to the engagement aperture further comprises positioning an opening portion of the bag proximate to the engagement aperture (see fig. 1).

Regarding claim 42, pressing the sealing member into the engagement aperture of the receiver portion further comprises closing the resealable bag to form a hermetic seal therein (see fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 26, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent in view of U.S. Application No. US 2003/0188510.

Japanese Patent, as mentioned above, discloses all the claimed limitations, except for a handle. However, U.S. Application teaches a handle (16, figs. 1-3) to facilitate holding and engaging rod portion (sealing portion) into the clamp (receiver) (see page 1, paragraph 3, lines 9-11). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the handle as taught by U.S. Application No. 10/223647 in the bag sealing apparatus of Japanese Patent to facilitate holding and engaging rod portion (sealing portion) into the clamp (receiver).

Regarding claim 32, U.S. Application teaches that the clamp and receiver are made of flexible metallic materials (see page 1, paragraph 11, lines 1-6). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the clamp and receiver are made of metallic materials as taught by U.S. Application in the bag sealing apparatus of Japanese Patent.

8. Claims 27-28 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent (8-258851).

Japanese Patent discloses an opening that extends along a length of the sealing portion (see figs. 1-4, 6-8) and a lanyard (61, fig. 7) coupled to the receiver portion (22, fig. 7). Japanese Patent does not disclose expressly that the lanyard extends through the opening of the sealing portion. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to extend

Art Unit: 3721

the lanyard through the opening because Applicant has not disclosed that by extending the lanyard through the opening provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the lanyard (61) attached to the sealing portion and receiving portion as disclosed in the Japanese Patent or the claimed lanyard extends through the opening of the sealing portion because both lanyards perform the same function to prevent lost of either the receiving portion or sealing portion and thus to prevent the sealing apparatus from becoming unusable. Therefore, It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Japanese Patent to obtain the invention as specified in claims 27-28 and 37-38.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 5/25/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Japanese Patent ('851) does disclose that the lugs project downwardly by rotating the fig. 8 by 90° in the anticlockwise direction. Regarding the phrase "prior to" is a relative term. The lugs of Japanese patent ('851) are prior to the ridges if you start following the contour of arc from outside from the middle and go either left or right, the lugs (71) are prior to the ridges (meeting point of the receiver portion 23 and the lug 71, fig. 8). Further the lugs (71) are spaced apart from the opening because they blend in to the arc before the two end points of receiver portion.

In response to applicant's argument regarding the handle not extending upwardly, note that the handle of U.S. Application No. 2003/0188510 does extend

upwardly by the amount of the thickness of the handle. Furthermore, Japanese Patent ('851) also discloses that the handle (72) is extending outwardly and upwardly.


In response to applicant's argument regarding claims 27-28, 37-38, examiner agrees that there is a mention that "A lanyard.... May be used as a hanger or handle...". Japanese Patent ('851) clearly discloses the lanyard (clip 60, fig. 7), which may be used as hanger or handle and which clearly serves the purpose of preventing misplacement of receiver portion from the sealing portion.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-5:30 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hemant M Desai
Examiner
Art Unit 3721



Stephen F. Gerrity
Primary Examiner

Approved
C16105.1mrd




FIG.11